

Dear Mr. McGuinty and Mr. Brierbrier,

I am in receipt of your October 2008 flyer and I am disappointed in the negative way you have portrayed the position of the City of Ottawa. As you know, we met on September 4th, 2008 and a strong consensus was reached with respect to the concerns of the City and the concerns of No Rezone Playfair.

It was agreed that your Urban Planner and the City's Planning Staff would present the issues as *matters of joint concern*. In addition, I have advised other interested groups that we have achieved a consensus. Your flyer and the encouragement to send it to myself and the City Planner without clearly indicating that we have reached a consensus position is misleading to the community and promotes conflict where none exists.

For the record – the consensus position – reached at our September 4th meeting includes the following:


- **Full agreement** that resident parking should be underground – *the developer has agreed* with our joint position.
- **Full agreement** to limit traffic access off of Playfair Drive by reducing parking access off of Playfair – *the developer has agreed* with our joint position and the garage will be segmented to meet our concern.
- **Full agreement** that the set backs on Playfair are not appropriate – developer has agreed and *the request for reduced set back on Playfair has been eliminated*.
- **Full agreement** that the current design of both the tower and the town homes does not integrate, from a design standpoint, with the adjacent community/. The developer has been requested to redesign the plans and we will jointly review them with your Urban Planner and City Staff when the revised plans have been received to develop a joint response.
- **Full agreement** to maximize visitor parking spots – *the developer has agreed* to enhance visitor parking.
- **Full agreement** with the need to provide adequate parking for residents similar to parking at the condo at 1705 Playfair Drive – *the developer has agreed* to ensure adequate resident parking underground.
- **Full agreement** that height of the tower and protection of light need to be addressed to the satisfaction of the residents of Rosewood Estates.

In addition to the consensus position, I have agreed to impose 2 other conditions during the consideration of the site plan to protect the residents of 1705 Playfair Drive. These conditions flow from individual meetings that I have had with residents of 1705 Playfair and Carleton Condominium Corporation # 500. These conditions are:

- A requirement to provide fencing, appropriate to the site and approved by Carleton Condominium Corporation # 500, to delineate Carleton Condominium Corporation # 500 property from the rest of the site.
- A requirement to construct a new entrance for Carleton Condominium Corporation # 500 off of Playfair Drive that provides a more appropriate and distinctive entrance for Carleton Condominium Corporation # 500. The design of this entrance must be to the satisfaction of Carleton Condominium Corporation # 500.

Please feel free to post this on your blog and distribute this to your mailing list. I will be responding to any feedback that I receive with this information and I am preparing a community flyer that will outline the consensus position and the way forward.

Sincerely,



Peter Hume
Councillor
Ward 18